UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,736	01/09/2007	Joachim Lohr	L7725.06116	5985
	7590	EXAMINER		
1901 L STREE SUITE 800		PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/586,736	LOHR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Chandrahas Patel	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavite eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	r, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had a corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee had been filed, in the filed for the filed, and the appropriate extension fee had been filed for the filed, and the appropriate extension fee had been filed for the filed, and the appropriate extension fee had been filed for the fi						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the statement of the statem	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	idilit the time period set fortif in 37 v	SFR 41.37 (a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cores (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-40.		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See continuation sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616	/Chandrahas Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2616					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3: Applicant's amendment to claims 24 and 28 raise the consideration of new issues by adding the limitations "mobile station triggers a synchronous transmission of a retransmission data packet for said received data packet from the mobile station in reponse to a feedback message to the mobile station; and restricting a maxium uplink resource common to the plurality of mobile stations that each of the plurality of mobile stations is allowed to utilize for uplink transmissions on the uplink data channel." Applicant's amendment to claims 32 and 36 raise the consideration of new issues by adding the limitations "synchronously retransmitting the data packet to the base station after a fixed time span upon having received said feedback message". These new limitations were not in any of the dependent claims for claims 24, 28, 32 and 36.